### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

In re: Baycol F	Products Liti	gation

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ex rel. LAURIE SIMPSON,

Honorable Michael J. Davis United States District Court Judge

Plaintiff,

v.

BAYER HEALTHCARE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.; BAYER CORP.; and BAYER A.G., MDL No. 1431 Civil No. 08-5758-MJD-ECW

Defendants.

## JOINT STIPULATION TO MODIFY AMENDED PRETRIAL SCHEDULING ORDER PURSUANT TO L.R. 16.3

Pursuant to Rule 16(b)(4) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 16.3, the Parties respectfully request that the Court modify the Amended Pretrial Scheduling Order (Dkt. No. 221), by extending the schedule by a period of ninety (90) days, for the reasons described herein.

### **LEGAL STANDARD**

Under Rule 16(b)(4) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, a pretrial scheduling order may be modified for good cause. Local Rule 16.3(b)(1) states that "[a] party that

moves to modify a scheduling order must...establish good cause for the proposed modification. L.R. 16.3(b)(1). "The primary measure of good cause [under Rule 16(b)(4)] is the movant's diligence in attempting to meet the order's requirements." *Rahn v. Hawkins*, 464 F.3d 813, 822 (8th Cir. 2006).

#### **DISCUSSION**

The Amended Pretrial Scheduling Order provides a deadline for the completion of fact discovery on September 28, 2020. Since the Parties' last Joint Status Report (Dkt. No. 220), Relator has made her final production of documents to Bayer on May 1, 2020 and both Parties are working on the completion of privilege logs. In addition, the DoD has made two additional productions of documents, which Relator received on March 20, 2020 and on June 23, 2020.

Although the Parties have cooperated to facilitate production of documents expeditiously, and have each made several productions consisting of thousands of documents responsive to the requests on them, the Parties continue to meet and confer regarding categories of documents responsive the document requests.

In addition, on July 15, 2020, Relator served a notice of deposition on Bayer pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6) related to Bayer's communications with the Department of Defense related to Baycol's safety and also provided Bayer with a non-exhaustive list of potential fact witnesses. The Parties are also meeting and conferring regarding these proposed depositions and are negotiating a deposition protocol. In light of the foregoing, the Parties believe that good cause exists to extend all

current deadlines by a period of 90 days to allow the Parties to complete discovery, including finalizing deposition protocols, facilitating the preparation and taking of depositions of fact witnesses that are dispersed in various states across the country, and resolving all remaining document discovery issues.

#### **CONCLUSION**

In light of the foregoing, the Parties request that the Court grant their Joint Stipulation to Modify Amended Pretrial Scheduling Order Pursuant to L.R. 16.3 and enter the Proposed Order to Modify Amended Pretrial Scheduling Order Pursuant to L.R. 16.3.

Dated: August 21, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

Respectfully submitted,

KESSLER TOPAZ MELTZER & CHECK LLP /s/ Asher Alavi

/S/ ASIICI AIAVI

Asher Alavi aalavi@ktmc.com David Bocian dbocian@ktmc.com 280 King of Prussia Road Radnor, PA 19087 (484) 270-1402

Counsel for Relator Laurie Simpson

BARTLIT BECK HERMAN PALENCHAR & SCOTT LLP /s/ Adam Hoeflich

Philip S. Beck Adam Hoeflich 54 W. Hubbard Street, Suite 300 Chicago, IL 60603 (312) 494-4400

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
/s/ Kristin Graham Koehler
Kristin Graham Koehler (pro hac vice)
kkoehler@sidley.com
Ryan C. Morris (pro hac vice)

rmorris@sidley.com Joshua J. Fougere (*pro hac vice*) jfougere@sidley.com 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 736-8000

# DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP /s/ John Marti

John Marti (#0388393)
marti.john@dorsey.com
Alex P. Hontos (#0388355)
hontos.alex@dorsey.com
Caitlin L.D. Hull (#0398394)
hull.caitlin@dorsey.com
50 South Sixth Street, Suite 1500
Minneapolis, MN 55402
(612) 340-2600

Counsel for Defendants Bayer Corporation, Bayer AG, and Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc.